Outline

- Definition
- Background
- Pathophysiology
- Evaluation and Risk Stratification
 - Goals of Evaluation
 - Hx and PE
 - ACEP Clinical Policy
 - Decision Instruments
 - Electrocardiography







Definition:

Definition:

 "Brief loss of consciousness associated with an inability to maintain postural tone that spontaneously and completely resolves without medical intervention"

Definition:

- "<u>Brief</u> loss of consciousness associated with an inability to maintain postural tone that spontaneously and completely resolves without medical intervention"
- <u>Brief</u> = not asleep/intoxicated, not post-ictal

Cannot survive prolonged cerebral hypoperfusion

Definition:

- "Brief loss of consciousness associated with an inability to maintain postural tone that <u>spontaneously</u> and completely resolves without medical intervention"
- Brief = not asleep/intoxicated, not post-ictal
 Cannot survive prolonged cerebral hypoperfusion
- <u>Spontaneously</u> = no intervention needed... therefore *rarely* hypoglycemia

Definition:

- "Brief loss of consciousness associated with an inability to maintain postural tone that spontaneously and <u>completely</u> resolves without medical intervention"
- Brief = not asleep/intoxicated, not post-ictal
 <u>– Cannot survive prolonged cerebral hypoperfusion</u>
- Spontaneously = no intervention needed... therefore *rarely* hypoglycemia
- <u>Completely</u> = no neurological deficit, baseline mental status

Background

 Syncope also referred to as fainting, "passed out," "blacked out," "fell out," "DFO," etc.

Background

- Syncope also referred to as fainting, "passed out," "blacked out," "fell out," "DFO," etc.
- Syncope and pre-syncope are same disease along a continuum (with sudden death?)
 - Grossman, et al. Am J Emerg Med 2012
 - Thiruganasambandamoorthy , et al. Ann Emerg Med 2015
 - Bastani, Ann Emerg Med 2019
- 1-3% of all ED visits
- 1-6% of all hospital admissions

Pathophysiology

Loss of consciousness requires dysfunction of – Bilateral cerebral hemispheres, or – Reticular activating system

Pathophysiology

Loss of consciousness requires dysfunction of

- Bilateral cerebral hemispheres, or
- Reticular activating system
- ...caused by insufficient oxygen or glucose
 - Hypoperfusion (decreased oxygen or glucose)
 - Systemic hypoxia (unlikely)
 - Systemic hypoglycemia (unlikely)

Pathophysiology

Loss of consciousness requires dysfunction of

- Bilateral cerebral hemispheres, or
- Reticular activating system
- ...caused by insufficient oxygen or glucose
 - Hypoperfusion (decreased oxygen or glucose)
 - Systemic hypoxia (unlikely)
 - Systemic hypoglycemia (unlikely)
- However, remember that this has to be transient to meet the definition of syncope

Question...

• In the patient presenting with syncope, what are your goals with regard to ED evaluation??

• Not necessarily in order...

1. Avoid litigation!



- 1. Avoid litigation!
- 2. Make a dx and treat accordingly

- 1. Avoid litigation!
- 2. Make a dx and treat accordingly
- 3. If a dx can't be made, risk stratify
 - Admit if high risk for early adverse event
 - Discharge if low risk for early adverse event

- 1. Avoid litigation!
- 2. Make a dx and treat accordingly
- 3. If a dx can't be made, risk stratify
 - Admit if high risk for early adverse event
 - Discharge if low risk for early adverse event



- 1. Avoid litigation!
- 2. Make a dx and treat accordingly
- 3. If a dx can't be made, risk stratify
 - Admit if high risk for early adverse event
 - Discharge if low risk for early adverse event





Avoid litigation!
 Make a dx and treat accordingly
 If a dx can't be made, <u>risk stratify</u>

 Admit if high risk for early adverse event
 Discharge if low risk for early adverse event



History

Detailed history...no surprise questions

- What were you doing before the syncope?
- What symptoms do you remember before and after the syncope?
- Witnesses? Their history
- Feeling ill lately/recent illnesses?
- Medications? Drugs? Alchohol?
- Prior history of syncope? Workup?
- Family history of sudden death?
- Associated symptoms? (e.g CP, SOB, AP, etc.)

Physical Exam

Detailed examination...no surprises here either

- Appearance and VS
- HEENT
- Cardiac (esp. murmurs)
- Pulmonary
- Etc. etc. etc.

- Huge!
- Critical to distinguish vs. seizure

- Factors favoring syncope
 - Preceding nausea or diaphoresis
 - Oriented (not confused) upon waking
 - Age > 45yo
 - Preceding prolonged sitting or standing
 - History of CHF or CAD

Factors favoring seizure

- History of seizure disorder
- Tongue biting
- Confusion upon waking
- -LOC > 5 minutes
- Age < 45yo
- Preceding aura
- Observed unusual posturing, jerking, or head turning during episode

• "Rule of 15s"

• "Rule of 15s"

- Subarachnoid hemorrhage
- Acute coronary syndrome
- Thoracic aortic dissection
- Pulmonary embolism
- AAA rupture/leak
- Ruptured ectopic pregnancy

Evaluate these with history/exam, test as needed

• Most of this is basic...

- We need to diagnose and treat simple causes
- We need to diagnose deadly causes and initiate workup/Tx

- Most of this is basic...
- We need to diagnose and treat simple causes
- We need to diagnose deadly causes and initiate workup/Tx
- Note → 80% of diagnoses made during hospital admission are made in the ED!

- Most of this is basic...
- We need to diagnose and treat simple causes
- We need to diagnose deadly causes and initiate workup/Tx
- If we cannot diagnose, we need to <u>risk stratify</u>

- Most of this is basic...
- We need to diagnose and treat simple causes
- We need to diagnose deadly causes and initiate workup/Tx
- If we cannot diagnose, we need to risk stratify
 - High risk for early complications...admit
 - Low risk for early complications...discharge for outpatient follow up

Clinical Policy: Critical Issues in the Evaluation and Management of the Adult Patient Presenting to the ED with Syncope (Huff JS, et al, Ann Emerg Med 2007)

Clinical Policy: Critical Issues in the Evaluation and Management of the Adult Patient Presenting to the ED with Syncope (Huff JS, et al, Ann Emerg Med 2007)

- Addressed three major clinical questions pertaining to *risk stratification*
- Many of the recommendations based on imperfect studies, consensus...but pretty reasonable recommendations

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)

• Question 1: What history and physical exam data help in risk stratification?

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
High-risk historical features...

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
High-risk historical features → common sense!
Older age, history of CAD, structural heart disease (e.g. valvular problems, LVH)
Young patients with exertional syncope, SSx of

ACS, FHx of sudden death
Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
High-risk exam features...

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
High-risk exam features → common sense! – Murmurs (esp. if suggestive of HCM, AS)

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Other useful exam features to assess...

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Other useful exam features to assess...
Orthostatic changes to diagnose hypovolemia
Beware poor sensitivity and specificity
Positional symptoms → most reliable

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Other useful exam features to assess...
Orthostatic changes to diagnose hypovolemia
Beware poor sensitivity and specificity
Positional symptoms → most reliable
Evidence of tongue biting, loss of continence → sz.
Abdominal and rectal exam
Detailed neurological exam → structural lesion

Diagnostic testing: key question Are there tests that you have to order that have a positive yield which you couldn't have predicted based on a good history and physical exam?

Diagnostic testing: key question Are there tests that you have to order that have a positive yield which you couldn't have predicted based on a good history and physical exam? \rightarrow NO! (with one exception...)

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Diagnostic testing

12-lead ECG should be done ~ 100% (more later)
Routine CBC, chemistries, U/A, HCG, CXR, CT, etc.?

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Diagnostic testing

12-lead ECG should be done ~ 100% (more later)
Routine tests → literature indicates...

Rarely useful unless dictated by a good Hx/PE

- Diagnostic testing
 - 12-lead ECG should be done ~ 100% (more later)
 - Routine tests \rightarrow literature indicates...
 - Rarely useful unless dictated by a good Hx/PE
 - CBC if Hx of blood loss, weakness, pallor on exam, etc.
 - Chems if Hx of N/V/D, use of diuretics, DM, renal disease, appears dehydrated, etc,
 - CT if severe HA, abnl. neuro. exam, trauma, etc.

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Diagnostic testing

Routine ED ECHO also has poor yield
Only if indicated by Hx/PE or ECG
Anderson KL, et al. Ann Emerg Med 2013

Outpatient Holter monitoring also has poor yield
Carotid Doppler studies have poor yield

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Diagnostic testing

Bottom line...
Get the ECG
No routine additional testing unless a good Hx

and exam indicates these are needed

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)

Question 3: Who should be admitted after an episode of syncope of unclear cause?

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)
Who should be admitted?

Admit if "...treating physician suspects that the patient is at risk for significant dysrhythmia or sudden death <u>and</u> that *observation* might detect that event and enable an intervention."

Clinical Policy: The Adult Patient with Syncope (Huff JS, Ann Emerg Med 2007)

- Who can be *discharged*?
 - Can probably discharge if there are no "high risk" criteria

Discharge for <u>outpatient follow-up</u>

- Traditionally admission decisions have been based on predictors of long-term mortality
 - Abnormal ECG
 - Ventricular dysrhythmias
 - CHF
 - Age > 45yo

- Traditionally admission decisions have been based on predictors of long-term mortality
 - Abnormal ECG
 - Ventricular dysrhythmias
 - CHF
 - Age > 45yo
- Is this relevant to EM? What are short-term predictors of serious adverse outcomes (SAOs)?

- San Francisco Syncope Rules (CHESS) predicts higher likelihood of 7-day SAOs
 - History of CHF
 - Hematocrit < 30%</p>
 - ECG abnormality
 - Shortness of breath
 - SBP < 90 mm Hg at arrival</p>

- San Francisco Syncope Rules (CHESS) predicts higher likelihood of 7-day SAOs
 - History of CHF
 - Hematocrit < 30%</p>
 - ECG abnormality
 - Shortness of breath
 - SBP < 90 mm Hg at arrival
- Sensitivity at predicting 1-week adverse outcomes 96-98%, decreased admissions

- San Francisco Syncope Rules (CHESS) predicts higher likelihood of 7-day SAOs
 - History of CHF
 - Hematocrit < 30%
 - ECG abnormality
 - Shortness of breath
 - SBP < 90 mm Hg at arrival
- Only to be used after "obvious admits" excluded

- San Francisco Syncope Rules (CHESS) predicts higher likelihood of 7-day SAOs
 - History of CHF
 - Hematocrit < 30%
 - ECG abnormality
 - Shortness of breath
 - SBP < 90 mm Hg at arrival
- 7 Validation studies at other centers → lower accuracy (74-90%)

Other decision rules for syncope

- Boston Syncope Rules (Grossman, et al, J Emerg Med 2007)
 - Evaluated patients at 30 days
 - Very broad set of rules
 - 25 criteria
 - Not yet validated

Other "decision rules" for syncope
Short-Term Prognosis of Syncope (STePS) Study (Costantino, et al, JACC 2008)
– Evaluated patients for risk of SAOs at 10 days
– 4 independent predictors

Other "decision rules" for syncope
Short-Term Prognosis of Syncope (STePS) Study (Costantino, et al, JACC 2008)
– Evaluated patients for risk of SAOs at 10 days

- 4 independent predictors
 - Abnormal ECG (best predictor)
 - Concomitant trauma
 - Absence of prodrome
 - Male gender

Other "decision rules" for syncope
The ROSE (Risk Stratification of Syncope in the ED) Study (Reed, et al, JACC 2010)
Evaluated patients for risk of SAOs at 1 month
Admit if any of the following...

Other "decision rules" for syncope

- The ROSE (Risk Stratification of Syncope in the ED) Study (Reed, et al, JACC 2010)
 - BRACES
 - BNP > 300 pg/ml or Bradycardia < 50 in ED or prehospital
 - Rectal exam → fecal occult blood
 - Anemia \rightarrow Hg \leq 9.0 g/dL
 - Chest pain with syncope
 - ECG shows significant Q wave (excl. III)
 - Saturation < 94% on room air

Other "decision rules" for syncope
The ROSE (Risk Stratification of Syncope in the ED) Study (Reed, et al, JACC 2010)
– BRACES

Sensitivity only 87%

2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Syncope

A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Cuidelines, and the Heart Knythm Society

Developed in Collaboration With the American College of Emergency Physicians and Society for Academic Emergency Medicine

Endorsed by the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society

WRITING COMMITTEE MEMBERS*

Win-Kuang Shen, MD, FACC, FAHA, FHRS, Chair[†] Robert S. Sheldon, MD, PhD, FHRS, Vice Chair David G. Benditt, MD, FACC, FHRS*1 Mark S. Link, MD, FACC[‡] Mitchell I. Cohen, MD, FACC, FHRSt Brian Olshansky, MD, FACC, FAHA, FHRS*‡ Daniel E. Forman, MD, FACC, FAHA[†] Satish R. Raj, MD, MSc, FACC, FHRS*§ Zachary D. Goldberger, MD, MS, FACC, FAHA, FHRS‡ Roopinder Kaur Sandhu, MD, MPH[‡] Blair P. Grubb, MD, FACC§ Dan Soralla, MD‡ Mohamed H. Hamdan, MD, MBA, FACC, FHRS*‡ Benjamin C. Sun, MD, MPP, FACEP Civile W. Yancy, MD, MSc. FACC, FAHA‡¶ Andrew D. Krahn, MD, FHRS*§

Shen, et al. Circulation
Evaluation
Do a good hx and PE (Level I)

Shen, et al. Circulation
Evaluation

Do a good hx and PE (Level I)
They do recommend orthostatics
9% of syncope due to orthostatic hypotension

Shen, et al. Circulation Evaluation Do a good hx and PE (Level I) They do recommend orthostatics - 9% of syncope due to orthostatic hypotension Immediate upon standing and after 3 minutes

Shen, et al. Circulation

 Evaluation: <u>historical</u> factors associated shortterm (< 30 d) risk of adverse outcome

Shen, et al. Circulation

- Evaluation: <u>historical</u> factors associated shortterm (< 30 d) risk of adverse outcome
 - Age > 60 yo
 - Male
 - Known CAD, reduced
 EF, hx/o arrhythmia
 - Brief or no prodrome
 - Syncope during exertion

- Syncope while supine
- Abnl CV exam (e.g. murmur)
- FHx/o inheritable conditions or early SCD (< 50 yo)
- Known congenital HD

Shen, et al. Circulation

- Evaluation: <u>PE/lab</u> factors associated shortterm (< 30 d) risk of adverse outcome
 - Evidence of bleeding
 - Persistent abnl VS
 - Abnormal ECG
 - Positive TN
 - [pathologic murmur]

Shen, et al. Circulation Evaluation: scrutinize the ECG



Shen, et al. Circulation

- Management
 - Treat any underlying condition
 - Dispo: admit vs. observe vs. discharge?
Shen, et al. Circulation

- Management
 - Treat any underlying condition
 - Dispo: admit vs. observe vs. discharge?
 - No clear recommendation
 - Based on estimated risk of early adverse outcome
 - Can we predict this???

Research

Development of the Canadian Syncope Risk Score to predict serious adverse events after emergency department assessment of syncope

Venkatesh Thiruganasambandamoorthy MBBS MSc, Kenneth Kwong MSc, George A. Wells PhD, Marco L.A. Sivilotti MD MSc, Muhammad Mukarram MBBS MPH, Brian H. Rowe MD MSc, Eddy Lang MD, Jeffrey J. Perry MD MSc, Robert Sheldon MD PhD, Ian G. Stiell MD MSc, Monica Taljaard PhD

JAMA Internal Medicine | Original Investigation



Multicenter Emergency Department Validation of the Canadian Syncope Risk Score

Venkatesh Thiruganasambandamoorthy, MBBS, MSc; Marco L. A. Sivilotti, MD, MSc; Natalie Le Sage, MD, PhD; Justin W. Yan, MD, MSc; Paul Huang, MD; Mona Hegdekar, MD; Eric Mercier, MD, MSc; Muhammad Mukarram, MBBS, MPH; Marie-Joe Nemnom, MSc; Andrew D. McRae, MD, PhD; Brian H. Rowe, MD, MSc; Ian G. Stiell, MD, MSc; George A. Wells, PhD; Andrew D. Krahn, MD; Monica Taljaard, PhD

CSRS







doi: 10.1111/1742-6723.13641

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

External validation of the Canadian Syncope Risk Score for patients presenting with undifferentiated syncope to the emergency department

Jason CHAN ⁽⁰⁾, ^{1,2} Emma BALLARD, ³ David BRAIN, ⁴ Julia HOCKING, ⁵ Alan YAN, ^{1,2} Douglas MOREL^{1,2,4} and Jonathan HUNTER^{1,2}

¹Emergency Department, Redcliffe Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, ²Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, ³Statistics Unit, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, ⁴Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, and ⁵Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia



- Predictor of 30-day rate of SAOs after syncope
 - 8 items, each get points (or negative points)
 - Total score -3 to +11

Formula

Addition of the selected points: Category **Points Clinical evaluation** Predisposition to vasovagal symptoms* -1 History of heart disease 1 Any systolic pressure reading <90 or >180 mmHg 2 Investigations Elevated troponin level (>99th percentile of 2 normal population) Abnormal QRS axis (<-30° or >100°) 1 QRS duration >130 ms 1 Corrected OT interval >480 ms 2 **Diagnosis in emergency department** Vasovagal -2 syncope ED diagnosis (based on ED evaluation) Cardiac syncope 2 Neither 0 *Triggered by being in a warm crowded place, prolonged

standing, fear, emotion or pain.



Formula

Addition of the selected points:

Category		Points	
Clinical evaluation			
Predisposition to vasovagal symptoms*		-1	
History of heart disease		1	
Any systolic pressure reading <90 or >180 mmHg		2	
Investigations			
Elevated troponin level (>99th percentile of normal population)		2	
Abnormal QRS axis (<-30° or >100°)		1	
QRS duration >130 ms		1	
Corrected QT interval >480 ms		2	
Diagnosis in emergency department			
ED diagnosis (based on ED evaluation)	Vasovagal syncope	-2	
	Cardiac syncope	2	
	Neither	0	
*Tata a second back batter to a surgery second		un au a al	

*Triggered by being in a warm crowded place, prolonged standing, fear, emotion or pain.

Facts & Figures

Interpretation:

Score	Estimated risk of serious adverse event**	Risk category	
-3	0.4%	Very low	
-2	0.7%		
-1	1.2%	Low	
0	1.9%	LOW	
1	3.1%	Medium	
2	5.1%		
3	8.1%		
4	12.9%	High	
5	19.7%		
6	28.9%	Very high	
7	40.3%		
8	52.8%		
9	65.0%		
10	75.5%		
11	83.6%		

**Death, arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, serious structural heart disease, aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, severe pulmonary hypertension, severe hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, or any other serious condition causing syncope and procedural interventions for the treatment of syncope.

Formula

Addition of the selected points: **Points** Category **Clinical evaluation** Predisposition to vasovagal symptoms* -1 History of heart disease 1 Any systolic pressure reading <90 or >180 mmHg 2 Investigations Elevated troponin level (>99th percentile of 2 normal population) Abnormal QRS axis (<-30° or >100°) 1 QRS duration >130 ms 1 Corrected QT interval >480 ms 2 **Diagnosis in emergency department** Vasovagal -2 syncope ED diagnosis (based on ED evaluation) Cardiac syncope 2 Neither 0

*Triggered by being in a warm crowded place, prolonged standing, fear, emotion or pain.

Facts & Figures

Interpretation:



**Death, arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, serious structural heart disease, aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, severe pulmonary hypertension, severe hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, or any other serious condition causing syncope and procedural interventions for the treatment of syncope.

General problems with decision rules for syncope
Most don't evaluate short-term risk (what we are concerned about in EM!)

Very broad

General problems with decision rules for syncope

- Most don't evaluate short-term risk (what we are concerned about in EM!)
- Very broad
- They don't increase the <u>sensitivity</u> of experienced clinicians at predicting SAOs
 Most useful for these in training?
 - Most useful for those in training?

General problems with decision rules for syncope

- Most don't evaluate short-term risk (what we are concerned about in EM!)
- Very broad
- They don't increase the <u>sensitivity</u> of experienced clinicians at predicting SAOs – Most useful for those in training?
- <u>Specificity</u> is only slightly better than clinicians
- Perhaps best used to support your decision to admit or discharge (but not to overturn!)

Summary

- Emergency Department evaluation is key
- Good history and PE will determine need for further workup and help to risk stratify for inpatient vs. outpatient workup
- Canadian Syncope Risk Score is the best tool

Thanks amalmattu@comcast.net

