University of California San Francisco



ZUCKERBERG SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL Hospital and Trauma Center

Infrapatellar Nailing: Has it been replaced?

Ashraf N. El Naga, MD Orthopaedic Trauma Institute University of California, San Francisco





It is about managing the patella...







Infrapatellar Approach



Suprapatellar Approach



Knee hyper-flexed

Knee Semi-Extended



A pilot randomised prospective comparison of two approaches for tibial nailing using clinical and novel imaging outcome measures – study protocol Benjamin M Davies, Erden Ali, Daud Chou, Peter Hull, Jaikirty Rawal, James McKay, Andrew McCaskie, Andrew Carrothers



Infrapatellar Approach



Knee hyper-flexed

Suprapatellar Approach



Knee Semi-Extended







Knee Flexion



Knee Semi-Extended

- Generally, more difficult to get AP and lateral imaging
- Have to manipulate the leg position more often during the case
- More difficult to maintain reduction for proximal tibial fractures



 Have to manipulate the leg position less often during the case









Knee Flexion



Knee Semi-Extended

- Generally, more difficult to get AP and lateral imaging
- Have to manipulate the leg position more often during the case
- Easier to impact to get fracture compression across simple fractures with foot planted against bed

- Generally easier to get AP and Lateral Imaging
- Have to manipulate the leg position less often during the case
- Concern regarding intraarticular injury to cartilage
- Attention must constantly be given that cannula hasn't moved
 - May involve additional pinning to the femur
- More difficult to remove nail through this approach





Meta-Analyses

- A Suprapatellar approach, when compared to an Infrapatellar approach, yields
- 8 less Anterior Knee Pain and better patellofemoral joint Function, for
- Cite intramedullary nailing of diaphyseal tibial fractures. Results of a Randomised Controlled Trial.

Suprapatellar tibial fracture nailing is associated with lower rate for acute compartment syndrome and the need for fasciotomy compared with the infrapatellar



- Looking at all comers:
 - Suprapatellar nailing associated with:
 - Decreased fluoroscopy time
 - Improved reduction (especially sagittal plane alignment)
 - Decreased operative time
 - Decreased anterior knee pain
- Little difference in long term knee function





What about the cartilage?

- Serbest et al JOT 2019
 - 21 patients
 - Examination of intra-articular damage was performed using intraoperative patellofemoral arthroscopy before and after nail insertion
 - 17 of 21 patients (80.95%) had normal (grade 0) patellar and femoral articular prenail and postnail.
 - 4 patients starting with grade 0 chondromalacia,
 - 2 patients had grade 1
 - 2 patients had grade 2 chondral damage postnail
 - Not associated with either anterior knee pain or functional limitations of the knee
- Sanders et al JOT 2014
 - 13% patients grade 2 chondromalacia limited to the trochlear groove
 - Patients with grade 2 cartilage changes on arthroscopic images had MRI findings at 1 year which were read as normal
 - No correlation between the arthroscopic images, MRI findings, or patient outcomes.





What about the cartilage?

- Gelbke et al JOT 2010
 - Cadaveric study evaluating the contact pressures of SP and IP
 - SP pressures (3.83MPa) were >3 times higher than IP pressures (1.26MPa)
 - Below minimum of 4.5MPa is needed to induce chondrocyte death in prior studies
 - Peak contact pressure with knee flexion alone can reach pressures as high as 4 to 5MPa
 - Conclusion: SP approach doesn't post any significant risk to the viability or structural integrity of the articular cartilage







Suprapatellar nail ...

- Favorable position during procedure
- Easier to maintain reduction especially if reduction tenuous
 - Proximal metaphyseal fractures
 - Distal tibial fractures
- Cartilage injury occurs but not correlated to worsened outcomes
- Meta-analysis point to improved reduction, operative time, radiation







Why Consider Infrapatellar Nailing ...





- Obtaining the start point
 - Getting posterior enough on lateral is more difficult with SP
 - Arthritic knee with limited patellar mobility more difficult to manage with SP

- Obtaining a reduction
- Maintaining a reduction







Obtaining the start point

- Obtaining a reduction
- Maintaining a reduction

Clear advantage of semi-extended approach for proximal and distal metaphyseal injuries











Why Still Infrapatellar Nail?

- Obtaining the start point
 - Getting posterior enough on lateral is easier with IP
 - Arthritic knee

- Obtaining a reduction
- Maintaining a reduction

Not an issue for simple diaphyseal injuries
Nail will obtain a reduction







UCSF + SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITA

Nail Removal







- Certainly advantages to suprapatellar approach when looking at all comers
- Advantages are greatest for metaphyseal and meta diaphyseal injuries
- Infrapatellar approach
 - Still my approach of choice given efficiency for simple diaphyseal fractures
 - Any revision/ nail removal regardless of the initial approach





Thank You



Ashraf.ELNaga@ucsf.edu

